	Case 3:05-cv-05380-RBL Documer	t 3 Filed 06/14/05 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10		
11	ROBERT GROWCOCK,	Case No. C05-5380RJB
12	Plaintiff,	REPORT AND
13	V.	RECOMMENDATION TO DENY APPLICATION TO PROCEED <i>IN</i>
14	JOSEPH LEHMAN,	FORMA PAUPERIS
15	Defendant.	Noted for July 8, 2005
16		
17		
18		
19	This case has been referred to Magistrate Judge Karen L. Strombom pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
20	636(b)(1) and Local MJR 3 and 4. Plaintiff is a resident at the Washington State Department of Social and	
21	Health Services' ("DSHS") Special Commitment Center ("SCC"). He has filed a civil rights complaint	
22	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and a motion to proceed in forma pauperis. To file a complaint and initiate legal	
23	proceedings, plaintiff must pay a filing fee of \$250.00 or file a proper application to proceed in forma	
24	pauperis. Because plaintiff's motion indicates that he has sufficient funds with which to pay the filing fee,	
25	the undersigned recommends the court deny his motion.	
26	<u>DISCUSSION</u>	

The court may permit indigent litigants to proceed in forma pauperis upon completion of a proper

affidavit of indigency. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). However, the court has broad discretion in denying an

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

27

28

application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Weller v. Dickson, 314 F.2d 598 (9th Cir. 1963), *cert. denied*, 375 U.S. 845 (1963).

By requesting the court to proceed *in forma pauperis*, plaintiff is asking the government to incur the court filing fee because he is allegedly unable to afford the costs necessary to proceed with his civil rights action. On June 1, 2005, the Clerk received plaintiff's complaint and motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (Dkt. #1).

In his motion, plaintiff states that he earns \$75.00 every two weeks working for DSHS and that he receives another \$150.00 per month income from other sources. While plaintiff states he will have debts in the amount of \$2,600.00 upon discharge from the SCC, there is no indication as to when that will occur. In addition, plaintiff states that he has no current debts for which he is legally responsible and that he has no dependents. He also does not indicate he is responsible for the costs of his support and care during his stay as a resident at the SCC. Thus, it appears that plaintiff has access to sufficient funds with which to pay the court filing fee.

CONCLUSION

Because plaintiff appears to have access to sufficient funds with which to pay the filing fee, the undersigned recommends the court deny his application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Accordingly, the undersigned also recommends the court dismiss plaintiff's complaint unless he pays the required \$250.00 filing fee within thirty (30) days of the court's order.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Fed. R. Civ. P.") 72(b), the parties shall have ten (10) days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file written objections thereto. See also Fed.R.Civ.P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of appeal. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the clerk is directed to set this matter for consideration on **July 8, 2005**, as noted in the caption.

Dated this 14th day of June, 2005.

Karen L. Strombom

United States Magistrate Judge